U.S. Department of Agriculture Caribbean Area

GRASSLAND RESERVE PROGRAM CRITERIA WORKSHEET

Pai	ticipant:	Application No:				
The an ani	Land being offered is currently being utilized as a grazing operation or hayland (excluding annual hay species) and is 40 ac. or larger in size. The land includes grasslands; land that contains forbs; shrub land, including improved rangeland and pastureland; or land that is located in an area that has been historically dominated by grassland, forbs, and shrub lands when these lands have the potential to enhance plant and animal biodiversity. Yes [] No [] [If "yes" continue. If "no", discontinue ranking and notify the applicant the land is ineligible for the grass Grassland Reserve Program (GRP).]					
Ty	pe of GRP agreement offered (checked one): Ro Easement	ental Agreement10-year15 year15 year10-year15 year10-year15 year	20 year30 year			
Ent Tot Act	nmary of offered land (land types other than rangeland ter nearest whole acre tal acres offered res of native cover (native sod never been tilled) res needing restoration	, pastureland and grazed forest are not eligib acacac.	le to be offered or enrolled	l):		
Enter to nearest whole dollar Estimated restoration costs Estimated total cost of rental agreement Estimated total cost of easement		\$(Annual rental x tot	required for restoration) otal acres x length of contract) ent cost x total acres)			
	EVALUATION CRITERIA	•	Circle correct Value	Score		
	THREATS TO CONVERSION – At least 55% of the porter 14.	ints must be for conversion risk. (GRP Manual				
	Threat to conversion – Urban land ⁱ					
	Land that according to the land mapping unit were classif	fied within soil capability units I – III	50			
	North-East(Carolina, Canovanas, Loiza), Caguas in the Cand Mayaguez in the West.	Central-East, Ponce in the south,				
	Land is located in one or more listed municipalities in attachment in FSA NOTICE No. Grp-1.		25			
	Land is not located in listed municipalities		0			
			Score			
1.	Threat to conversion – cultivation [Percent of offered g to built-up]	rassland that could be converted				
	Land that according to the FOTG Section II, its Soil Map were classified within Soil Capability Units $I-II-III$ at		30			
	Land that according to the FOTG Section II and its Soil M Capability Units V- VI - VII	Mapping Unit were classified within Soil	15			

	EVALUATION CRITERIA (continued)	Circle correct Value	Score
2.	Threat to conversion – invasive plants [Area must have presence of, or adjacent to land with invasive species present. (See attachment No. 2). Participant will be required to remove and maintain the control of these species for the life of the agreement. Restoration cost-share may be available for the initial treatment within two years of enrollment in the GRP. Following the initial treatment the participant will have to maintain the population of these plants at a rate of 15% plant composition for the field in which they are present.		
	Species present on offered acres at or less than 30% of offered acres impacted Species present on offered acres at 30% - 50% of offered acres impacted Species present on offered acres at $51 - 75\%$ offered acres impacted Species present at $\geq 76\%$ offered acres impacted	15 10 5 1	
		Score	
Tot	tal points for threat to conversion (A.1.+A.2.+A.3.=Total) Maximum allowed is 95 points	Total Score A.	_
В.	Calculate maximum points allowed for non-conversion considerations		
NO	ON-CONVERSION CONSIDERATIONS	Circle correct Value	Score
NO 1)	Enrollment option selected by applicant. [Weight is assigned to the option providing the greatest opportunity to meet the long-term objectives of the program.]	Circle correct Value	Score
	Enrollment option selected by applicant. [Weight is assigned to the option providing the greatest	20 15 10 5 2 1	Score
	Enrollment option selected by applicant. [Weight is assigned to the option providing the greatest opportunity to meet the long-term objectives of the program.] [] Permanent Easement [] 30 - year easement [] 30 - year rental agreement [] 20 - year rental agreement [] 15 - year rental agreement	20 15 10 5 2	Score

Score

	EVALUATION CRITERIA (continued)	Circle correct Value	Score
3)	Location Significance – Proximity of area offered to other protected acres such as refuges and wild Management areas, national and State forests, natural areas, or permanent conservation easement [Protected areas must be 40 ac. or greater in size]		
	Area is immediately adjacent to a protected area Area is between ½ and ½ mile of a protected area Area is between ½ and 2 mile of a protected area Area is greater than 2 miles of a protected area	10 6 4 1	
		Score	
4)	Area offered includes predominately native pastureland [Area must be protected From uncontrolled livestock use by prescribe Grazing (528A), Forage Harvest Management (511), or Use Exclusion (472) in order to receive points.]		
	Area offered is 75% or greater native grasslands that has never been tilled or re-established By planting.	10	
	Area offered is 24% or greater native grasslands, abandoned cropland fields that have Established native tree plants through natural regeneration.	5	
		Score	
5)	Area offered will include restoration or enhancement of stream corridors/riparian zones [All riparian areas must be protected from uncontrolled livestock access by Prescribed Grazing (528A) and/or Use Exclusion (472) in order to receive points.]		
		Circle correct Value	Score
	Stream corridor/riparian zone will be restored with future protection Stream/corridor/riparian zone will be restored without future protection	10 0	
		Score	
6)	Essential habitat for at risk wildlife		
	Area offered is a known habitat for endangered and threaten species and benefits to the species will occur through development of a conservation plan that addresses habitat requirements for the identified Species. Conservation plan will include Upland Wildlife Management (645) addressing essential habitat		
	needs of identified specie(s).	10	
	Benefits to endangered and threaten species will not occur	2 Saora	
	A TOTAL POINTS FOR NON CONTENSION CONTENSION CO.	Score	
	A) TOTAL POINTS FOR NON-CONVERSION CONSIDERATION (Category B.1+B.2 B.3+B.4+B.5+B.6) (Maximum allowed points is 70 points) EVALUATION CRITERIA (continued)	Circle correct Value	Score

B) TOTAL POINTS	S FOR THREAT TO CO	ONVERSION (Tota	l of Category A fro	om page 2)		
B) TOTAL POINTS FOR THREAT TO CONVERSION (Total of Category A from page 2) TOTAL POINT VALUE FOR OFFERED AREA (Add totals of A + B) Maximum allowable points A + B						165
Evaluation Complete	ed By: (signatures)					
Designated Conserva	ntionist	Date	Applic	eant –	Date	